# Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* and Coexistence with *Toxoplasma gondii* in Dogs

 $\label{eq:Kader YILDIZ} Kader YILDIZ^1, Sibel YASA DURU^2, Bugrahan B. YAGCI^2, Cahit BABUR^3, \\ Naci OCAL^2, Safa GURCAN^4, Seda KARACA^1$ 

<sup>1</sup>Kirikkale University Veterinary Faculty, Department of Parasitology, Kirikkale, <sup>2</sup>Kirikkale University Veterinary Faculty, Department of Internal Medicine, Kirikkale, <sup>3</sup>Refik Saydam National Institute of Hygiene, Ankara, <sup>4</sup>Ankara University Veterinary Faculty, Department of Biostatistic, Ankara, Turkey

**SUMMARY**: *Neospora caninum* is a protozoal agent causing abortion and infertility problems in dairy cattle. The objective of the present study was to detect the seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in dogs and its co-existence with *Toxoplasma gondii* in some rural and urban regions of Kırıkkale province. A total of 121 blood samples were examined with indirect immunoflorescent antibody test to detect the presence of *N. caninum* IgG antibodies. The seropositivity of *N. caninum* was 28.9% in dogs. The seropositivity rate was higher in male dogs than that of females (p<0.05). The seropositivity rate of N. caninum was 36.5% and 20.7% for pure breed and mongrel dogs, respectively (p> 0.05). There was no significant difference amongst the age groups and location of the dogs (rural or urban) (p>0.05). The *N. caninum* positive serum samples were also examined for the presence of antibodies for *T. gondii* by Sabin-Feldman Dye test in order to identify the possible serological co-infection, 1/16 and higher titres were evaluated as positive. According to test results, 19 out of 35 *N. caninum* seropositive dogs (54.3%) showed *T. gondii* seropositivity.

Key Words: Neospora caninum, seroprevalence, Toxoplasma gondii, dog

# Köpeklerde Neospora caninum Seroprevalansı ve Toxoplasma gondii ile Beraber Görülme Oranı

ÖZET: Neospora caninum süt ineklerinde yavru atımı ve infertilite problemlerine sebep olan bir protozoondur. Bu çalışmada Kırıkkale şehir merkezi ile köylerinde yaşayan köpeklerde N.caninum seroprevalansını ve seropozitif köpeklerde T.gondii varlığını araştırmak amaçlanmıştır. Bu amaçla 121 kan örneği N.caninum IgG varlığı yönünden indirect floresan antikor testi kullanılarak incelenmiştir. Bunun sonucunda köpeklerde N.caninum seropozitivitesi %28,9 olarak belirlenmiştir. Seropozitifliğin erkek köpeklerde dişilere oranla daha yüksek olduğu gözlenmiştir (p<0,05). Saf ırklarda ve sokak köpeklerinde ise N.caninum seropozitifliği %36,5 ve %20,7 olarak tespit edilmiştir (p>0,05). Köpeklerin yaşları ve bulundukları yerler (Kırıkkale şehir merkezi veya köyleri) arasında ise N.caninum seropozitifliği bakımından önemli farklılık bulunmamıştır (p>0,05). N.caninum yönünden seropozitif bulunan köpek serumları Sabin Feldman boya testi kullanılarak T.gondii yönünden incelenmiş, 1/16 ve üzeri titreler pozitif kabul edilmiştir. Buna göre 35 N.caninum seropozitif köpeğin 19'unda (%54,3) T.gondii seropozitifliği belirlenmiştir.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Neospora caninum, seroprevalans, Toxoplasma gondii, köpek

# INTRODUCTION

Neospora caninum, apicomplexan protozoan parasite is recognized as an important cause of abortion and infertility in dairy cattle farms all around the world (10). This parasite was firstly identified in the brain of a dog in 1988 (12). Dogs and coyotes are definitive hosts, while several animal species including cattle are intermediate hosts (10, 16). The most prominent clinical sign in dogs is neuromuscular disorders (4). Although a few clinical neosporosis have been reported as yet

Makale türü/Article type: Araştırma / Original Research

Geliş tarihi/Submission date: 06 Ocak/06 january 2009 Düzeltme tarihi/Revision date: 09 Şubat/09 February 2009 Kabul tarihi/Accepted date: 02 Mart/02 March 2009

Yazışma /Correspoding Author: Kader Yıldız

Tel: (90) (318) 357 33 01 Fax: (90) (318) 357 33 04

E-mail: kaderyildiz@hotmail.com

(4-6) there are numerous reports indicating *N. caninum* sero-status of the dogs in several countries (1, 15, 17, 22, 24).

Toxoplasma gondii, etiologic agent of toxoplasmosis both in animals and human, is also an apicomplexan protozoan parasite resembling N.caninum on account of structural, antigenic and genetic features. Evenly, paraffin embedded tissue samples which had been previously misdiagnosed as Toxoplasma gondii infection in dogs, were re-diagnosed as neosporosis when they were re-evaluated immunohistochemically in a retrospective manner (12, 13, 20). Felids are the only known definitive host for this parasite (11). Clinical toxoplasmosis of dogs occurs mostly after such specific immunosuppressive viral infections such as canine distemper virus infection (20). Also, canine toxoplasmosis could be a sign of the risk of human toxoplasmosis epidemiologically (20).

There are some studies toward to detect *N.caninum* (5, 9) and *T.gondii* (3, 7, 14) seroprevalences of dogs in Turkey. However, none of which is related to co-incidences of these two protozoer infections. The main aim of this study was to detect seroprevalence of *N.caninum* in dogs living rural and urban regions and second aim was to detect *T.gondii* and *N.caninum* co-infection rate among examined dogs.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Blood sampling:** This study was carried out in Kırıkkale Province, located in the Central Anatolia, Turkey between March and September 2007. A total of 121 blood samples were collected, 90 of which were in and around cattle farms with infertility and abortion problems in rural regions. Other samples (n: 31) were collected in urban regions randomly. The dogs were examined clinically. Then, blood samples were collected into centrifuge tubes without anticoagulant. The sera were centrifuged and stored at -18 °C until tested.

The age, breed and sex of dogs examined were recorded. The age of the dogs ranged between 1- 10 years. They were mongrel dogs (n: 58) and pure breeds such as Anatolian shepherd dogs (n: 41), German shepherd (n: 7), Pointer (n: 4), Setter (n: 4), Boxer (n: 2), Collie (n: 2), Husky (n: 1), Cocker (n: 1) and Doberman (n: 1). The majority of the dogs were males (n: 81).

Serology for *N.caninum*: An indirect immunoflorescent antibody test (Fuller Laboratories, California, USA) consisting of *N.caninum* tachyzoites fixed on a slide was used to detect the presence of IgG antibody for *N.caninum* in the serum samples. The tests were performed according to manufacturer's recommendation procedures. The commercial test included in positive and negative control samples. Initial screening was conducted at 1:16 serum dilution. A positive titre of 1:16 was considered positive. The slides were viewed using Olympus BX50 fluorescent microscope. When compared with the positive and negative control reactions, complete, sharp and regular stained tachyzoite membranes were considered as a positive result. Samples of reactivity different from that seen in positive control were considered non-specific reaction. The positive serum samples were further tested in serial at 1:512.

**Serology for** *T.gondii:* Sabin-Feldman Dye test (SFDT) was used to detect the presence of antibodies for *T.gondii* in the serum samples using vital antigen and methylene-blue dying at Laboratory of Parasitology in Ankara Refik Saydam National Institute of Hygiene. The SFDT result was regarded as positive if more than 50% of tachyzoites unstained when examined under the light microscope. An antibody titre of 1/16 and over was accepted to be positive to eliminate the crossreactivity and false positive results.

**Statistical analysis:** The prevalence was estimated from the ratio of positive results to the total number of dogs. The Chi-Square goodness-of-fit test was performed. The statistical software package SPSS, version 15.0 was used for the analysis.

## RESULTS

The seropositivity of *N.caninum* was 28.9% in dogs (35 of 121) in the present study. Data of sampled and seropositive animals are summarized in Table 1. The seropositivity rate was higher in male dogs than that of female dogs (34.6% v. 17.5%) (p<0.05). Although, the seropositivity was slightly higher in rural dogs (30%) than that of urban dogs (25.8%), the difference was not significant (p>0.05). The seropositivity of *N.caninum* was 30.7% and 24.2 % in dogs aged 1 to 5 years and 6 to 10 years, respectively, but the difference was not significant (p>0.05).

**Table 1.** The serological results of the dogs tested for *N. caninum* 

Variable	Dogs (n)	Seropositive dogs (n)	(%)	<i>P-</i> value
Sex				
Males	81	28	34.6	< 0.05
Females	40	7	17.5	< 0.05
Breed				
Pure breeds <sup>a</sup>	63	23	36.5	> 0.05
Mongrels	58	12	20.7	> 0.05
Localization				
Rural	90	27	30.0	> 0.05
Urban	31	8	25.8	
Age (years)				
1-5	88	27	30.7	> 0.05
6-10	33	8	24.2	> 0.05

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Anatolian shepherds (n: 41), German shepherd (n: 7), Pointer (n: 4), Setter (n: 4), Boxer (n: 2), Collie (n: 2), Husky (n: 1), Cocker (n: 1) and Doberman (n: 1).

According to dog breed, the seropositivity rate of *N.caninum* was 36.5 % in pure breed dogs and 20.7% in mongrel dogs. Differences among the dog breed found to be not significant (p>0.05).

The antibody titres of *N.caninum* ranged from 1:16 to 1:512 (35 seropositive dogs with titers of 1:16 in 6, 1:32 in 1, 1:64 in 15, 1:128 in 11 and 1:512 in 2) in the present study. There was no correlation between antibody titers and sex, breed, age or location.

In this study, none of the dogs had neurological signs. In clinical examination, nearly all seropositive dogs seemed to be healthy (88.6%), however, some dogs (11.4%) had nonspesific symptom as dermatitis. Antibody titres ranged from 1:128 (n: 3) to 1:512 (n: 1) in the dogs with dermatitis.

The positive serum samples were also screened for the presence of antibodies for *T.gondii* by SFDT in order to identify possible serological mixinfection. The seropositivity of *T.gondii* detected was at 1:16 titre and higher (54.3%). Table 2 shows some epidemiological data of seropositive dogs with *N.caninum* and *T.gondii*.

**Table 2.** The data of the dogs seropositive with N. *caninum* and T. *gondii* 

Variables	Dogs (n)	Seropositive dogs (n)	(%)	<i>P</i> -value
Sex				
Male	28	14	50	> 0.05
Female	7	5	71.4	
Breed				
Pure breeds <sup>a</sup>	25	14	56	> 0.05
Mongrels	10	5	50	
Localization				
Rural	27	14	51.8	> 0.05
Urban	8	5	62.5	
Age				
1-5 years	28	14	50	> 0.05
6-10 years	7	5	71.4	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Anatolian shepherds (n: 19), German shepherd (n: 3), Pointer (n: 1), Boxer (n: 1) and Cocker (n: 1).

## DISCUSSION

Infertility and abortions are the main reproductive problems in animal breeding. One of the parasitic agents responsible for abortions in cattle farms is *N.caninum*. Neosporosis caused important economical losses in the world. Seropositivity of neosporosis in dogs was reported in different countries (8, 22, 25). In Turkey, only one clinic neosporosis has been detected in Doberman pinscher pup with paraplegia and progressive pelvic limb hyper-extension as yet (5). Also, seroprevalence of neosporosis in dogs was reported as 10 % in Turkey (9). In present study, the prevalence of *N.caninum* was observed as 28.9% in dogs serologically.

The most important factor of epidemiology of dog neosporosis is to eat or contact with infected tissue from cattle and other intermediate hosts (12). Naturally, this situation is more frequent in rural regions than those in urban areas. Some researchers (1, 15, 24, 25) reported that dogs from rural areas were significantly more seropositive for *N. caninum* than those from the urban area. Hornok *et al.*, (17) reported that seroconversion were common in rural dogs than that of urban dogs. This situation indicated that dogs in the rural area might have been contacted with infected tissue from intermediate hosts. In the present study, the differences between rural and urban dogs were found to be not significant. In urban region, seropositivity was especially detected dogs living in garden. It was thought that dogs living in urban could have been eaten some uncooked meat pieces given by the owners.

Some researcher suggested that the male dogs were predisposed to neosporosis (18). However, some suggested that the same is true for female dogs (26). The others reported no significant difference in seropositivity between the males and the females (15, 24, 25). The seropositivity was more frequent

among the male dogs in this study (p<0.05). Obviously, the male dogs are more frequently preferred in animal farms. Therefore, the male dogs might have been infections more than the female dogs.

Wanha *et al.*, (25) reported that the significant differences in dog breed seropositivity with *N.caninum*. However, significant differences were not observed among the breed of dogs in the present study (p>0.05). Anatolian shepherd dogs and mongrel dogs are more common in livestock farms in Turkey. Pure breed dogs are preferred for family pets or hunting purposes. Wild intermediate hosts of *N.caninum* can be accepting in dogs during the hunting. The wild intermediate hosts could be playing an important role for epidemiology of disease among the hunting dogs.

In present study, the seroprevalences of neosporosis and coinfection with toxoplasmosis in rural dog living in and around cattle farms with infertility and abortion problems were 30% and 51.8%, respectively. We also screened the sera samples of cattle living these farms with seropositivity dogs in Turkey serologically with respect to neosporosis and toxoplasmosis in another study (unpublished). Also, a clinic neosporosis in a calf was seen in one of the sampled cattle farms (19).

The seropositivity of neosporosis increased slightly with age in some study (25). In the present study, significant difference was not detected amongst the age groups (p>0.05).

The seroprevalence of *T. gondii* in dog population is quite variable depending on the methods and the geographic areas. The seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis in dog reported as from 11.7 to 85% in different region of Turkey (3, 7, 14). The Sabin–Feldman dye test is highly sensitive and specific for serology of *T.gondii* (11). However, the test is made only in Reference Laboratories due to obligation for live tachyzoites (23). Some researchers determined the seroprevalence of *N.caninum* and *T.gondii* in same dogs as 4.9 - 10.6% (2, 21). The seropositivity of *T.gondii* was detected as 54.3% in positive serum samples due to *N.caninum* in this study with SFDT.

Toxoplasma gondii seropositivity was related to the age of dogs and living with cats in the home (2). In rural areas of Turkey, dogs and cats live together. Naturally, dogs can easily contact with cat faeces possibly including T.gondii oocytes in the environment. In urban areas, stray cats are play an important role in the epidemiology of toxoplasmosis beside some uncooked meat pieces might also been eaten by dogs living in home. In our study, toxoplasmosis seropositivity was nearly equal in rural and urban dogs (51.8% v 62.5%).

In conclusion, the seropositivity rate of *N.caninum* was as 28.9% in dogs in Turkey. Nearly all seropositive dogs were seemed healthy. These clinically healthy but serologically positive dogs are important risk in cattle farm. Especially high antibody titres of *N.caninum* were detected in dogs with dermatitits in our study; there was attention to dogs with dermatitis symptoms in cattle farms with infertility and abort problems.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partly supported by a grant from the Kırıkkale University Research Fund (KU BAP, No: 2006/24).

### REFERENCES

- Antony A, Williamson NB, 2003. Prevalence of antibodies to Neospora caninum in dogs of rural or urban origin in central New Zealand. New Zeal Vet J, 51: 232-237.
- Azevedo SS, Batista CSA, Vasconcellos SA, Aguiar DM, Ragozo AMA, Rodrigues AAR, Alves CJ, Gennari SM, 2005. Seroepidemiology of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in *dogs* from the state of Paraiba, Northeast region of Brazil. *Res* Vet Sci, 79: 51-56.
- Babür C, Bıyıkoglu G, Pişkin FÇ, Erdal N, 1997. Istanbul sokak köpeklerinde toxoplasmosisin seroprevalansı. Türkiye Parazitol Derg, 21: 413–416.
- Basso W, Venturini MC, Bacigalupe D, Kienast M, Unzaga JM, Larsen A, Machuca M, Venturini L, 2005. Confirmed clinical *Neospora caninum* infection in a boxer puppy from Argentina. *Vet Parasitol*, 131: 299-303.
- Batmaz H, Şentürk S, Aydın L, 2004. Clinical neosporosis in a dog in Turkey. Aust Vet Pract, 34: 129-131.
- Boyd SP, Barr PA, Brooks HW, Orr JP, 2005. Neosporosis in a young dog presenting with dermatitis and neuromuscular signs. J Small Anim Pract, 46: 85-88.
- Çakmak A, Karaer Z, Bıyıkoglu G, Babür C, Pişkin FÇ, 1996. Ankara'da sokak kopeklerinde toxoplasmosisin seroprevalansı. Fırat Univ Sağ Bil Derg, 10: 279–282.
- Capelli G, Nardelli S, Regalbono AF, Scala A, Pietrobelli M, 2004. Seroepidemiological survey of *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs in north-eastern Italy. *Vet Parasitol*, 123: 143-148.
- Coşkun SZ, Aydın L, Bauer C, 2000. Seroprevalence of Neospora caninum infection in domestic dogs in Turkey. Vet Rec, 146: 649.
- Dubey JP, 2003. Review of Neospora caninum and neosporosis in animals. Korean J Parasitol, 41: 1-16.
- 11. **Dubey JP,** 2007. The History and Life cycle of Toxoplasma gondii. Weiss LM, Kim K. eds. *Toxoplasma gondii, The Model Apicomplexan: Perspectives and Methods*. Academic Press, UK, p.1-12.
- Dubey JP, Koestner A, Piper RC, 1990. Repeated transplacental transmission of *Neospora caninum* in dogs. *JAVMA*, 194: 857-860.
- Dubey JP, Carpenter JL, Speer CA, Topper MJ, Uggla A, 1988. Newly recognized fatal protozoan disease of dogs. JAVMA, 192: 1269–1285.
- Eren H, Sarı C, Turgay N, Ertug S, 2002. Aydın ilindeki sahipli ve sağlıklı köpeklerde *Toxoplasma*'ya özgu IgG antikorlarının indirekt fluoresan antikor testi (IFAT) ile araştırılması. *Türkiye Parazitol Derg*, 26: 352–354.
- Ferroglio E, Pasino M, Ronco F, Bena A, Trisciuoglio A, 2007. Seroprevalence of antibodies to *Neospora caninum* in ur-

- ban and rural dogs in north-west Italy. Zoonoses and Public Health. 54: 135-139.
- Gondim LF, McAllister M, Pitt W, Zemlicka D, 2004. Coyotes (*Canis latrans*) are definitive hosts of *Neospora caninum*. *Int J Parasitol*, 34: 159-161.
- Hornok S, Edelhofer R, Foka E, Berta K, Fejes P, Repasi A, Farkas R, 2006. Canine neosporosis in Hungary: Screening for seroconversion of household, herding and stray dogs. *Vet Para*sitol, 137: 197–201.
- Klein BU, Muller E, 2001. Seroprevalence of antibodies to Neospora caninum in dogs with and without clinical suspicion for neosporosis in Germany. Prakt Tierarzt, 82: 437-440.
- Kul O, Kabakci N, Yildiz K, Ocal N, Kalender H, Ilkme NA, 2009. Neospora caninum associated with epidemic abortions in dairy cattle: The first clinical neosporosis report in Turkey. Vet Parasitol, 159: 69-72.
- Lindsay DS, Dubey JP, 2007. Toxoplasmosis in Wild and Domestic Animals. Weiss LM, Kim K. eds. *Toxoplasma gondii, The Model Apicomplexan: Perspectives and Methods*. Academic Press, UK, p.133-152.
- Mineo TWP, Silva DAO, Naslund K, Bjorkman C, Uggla A, Mineo JR, 2004. Toxoplasma gondii and Neospora caninum serological status of different canine populations from Uberlandia, Minas Gerais. Arg Bras Med Vet Zootec, 56: 414-417.
- Ploneczka K, Mazurukiewicz M, 2008. Seroprevalence of Neospora caninum in dogs in South-western Poland. Vet Parasitol, 153: 168-171.
- Reiter-Owana I, Petersen E, Joynson D et al. 1999. The past and present role of the Sabin-Feldman dye test in the serodiagnosis of toxoplasmosis. *Bull World Health Org*, 77, 929-935.
- Sanchez GF, Morales SE, Martinez MJ, Trigo JF, 2003.
  Determination and correlation of anti-Neospora caninum anti-bodies in dogs and cattle from Mexico. Can J Vet Res, 67, 142-145.
- Wanha K, Edelhofer R, Gabler-Eduardo C, Prosl H, 2005.
  Prevalence of antibodies against Neospora caninum and Toxoplasma gondii in dogs and foxes in Austria. Vet Parasitol, 128: 189-193.
- Wouda W, Dijkstra T, Kramer AM, van Maanen C, Brinkhof JM, 1999. Seroepidemiological evidence for a relationship between *Neospora caninum* infections in dogs and cattle. *Int J Parasitol*, 29: 1677-1682.