

Research Article

Korovkin-Type Theorems in Weighted L_p -Spaces via Summation Process

Tuncer Acar¹ and Fadime Dirik²

¹ Kırıkkale University, Faculty of Science and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Yahşihan, Kırıkkale, Turkey

² Sinop University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, 57000 Sinop, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Tuncer Acar; tunceracar@gmail.com

Received 27 August 2013; Accepted 27 September 2013

Academic Editors: I. Beg, G. Dai, F. J. Garcia-Pacheco, H. Iiduka, and S. A. Mohiuddine

Copyright © 2013 T. Acar and F. Dirik. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Korovkin-type theorem which is one of the fundamental methods in approximation theory to describe uniform convergence of any sequence of positive linear operators is discussed on weighted L_p spaces, $1 \leq p < \infty$ for univariate and multivariate functions, respectively. Furthermore, we obtain these types of approximation theorems by means of \mathcal{A} -summability which is a stronger convergence method than ordinary convergence.

1. Introduction

The fundamental theorem of Korovkin [1] on approximation of continuous functions on a compact interval gives conditions in order to decide whether a sequence of positive linear operators converges to identity operator. This theorem has been extended in several directions. One of the most important papers on these extensions is [2] that where the author obtained Korovkin-type theorem on unbounded sets for the weighted continuous functions on semireal axis. Korovkin-type theorems were also studied on L_p -spaces (see [3, 4]).

The extension of Korovkin's theorem from compact intervals to unbounded intervals for functions that belong to L_p -spaces was obtained by Gadjiev and Aral [5]. We recall some notations presented in that paper. Let \mathbb{R} denote the set of real numbers. The function ω is called a weight function if it is positive continuous function on the whole real axis and, for a fixed $p \in [1, \infty)$, satisfying the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{2p} \omega(t) dt < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Let $L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R})$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) denote the linear space of measurable, p -absolutely integrable functions on \mathbb{R} with respect to weight function ω ; that is,

$$L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \|f\|_{p,\omega} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^p \omega(t) dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The analogues of (1) and (2) in multidimensional space are given as follows. Let Ω be a positive continuous function in \mathbb{R}^n , satisfying the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |t|^{2p} \Omega(t) dt < \infty, \quad (3)$$

and for $1 \leq p < \infty$ one has

$$L_{p,\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \|f\|_{p,\Omega} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)|^p \Omega(t) dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}. \quad (4)$$

The authors obtained Korovkin-type theorems for the functions in $L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R})$ and also in $L_{p,\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The aforementioned results are the extensions of Korovkin's theorem on unbounded sets and more general functions spaces by ordinary convergence.

On the other hand, most of the classical operators tend to converge to the value of the function being approximated. At the points of discontinuity, they often converge to the average of the left and right limits of the function. However, there are exceptions which do not converge at points of discontinuity (see [6]). In this case matrix summability methods of Cesàro type are strong enough to correct the lack of convergence [7].

Let $\mathcal{A} := \{A^n\} = (a_{kj}^{(n)})$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with non-negative real entries. For a sequence $x = (x_j)$, the double sequence

$$\mathcal{A}x := \{(Ax)_k^n : k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tag{5}$$

defined by

$$(Ax)_k^n := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} x_j, \tag{6}$$

is called \mathcal{A} -transform of x whenever the series that converges for all k, n , and x is said to be \mathcal{A} -summable to l if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} x_j = l, \tag{7}$$

uniformly in n ([8, 9]). If $A^n = A$ for some matrices A , then \mathcal{A} -summability is the ordinary matrix summability by A , and if $a_{kj}^{(n)} = 1/k$, for $n \leq j \leq k+n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), and $a_{kj}^{(n)} = 0$ otherwise, then \mathcal{A} -summability reduces to almost convergence [10]. Replacing the ordinary convergence by \mathcal{A} -summability some approximation results have been studied in [11–13] and in the special cases [14, 15]. Also, Korovkin-type theorems in weighted space via \mathcal{A} -summability have been studied in [16, 17].

Our purpose in the present paper is to obtain Korovkin-type theorems on weighted L_p spaces in univariate and multivariate case via \mathcal{A} -summation process. More precisely, a sequence $\{L_j\}$ of positive linear operators from $L_{p,\omega}$ into $L_{p,\omega}$ is called an \mathcal{A} -summation process on $L_{p,\omega}$ if $(L_j(f))$ is \mathcal{A} -summable to f for every $f \in L_{p,\omega}$; that is,

$$\lim_k \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} L_j(f) - f \right\|_{p,\omega} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } n, \tag{8}$$

where it is assumed that the series in (8) converges for each k, n , and f . Considering this fact we extend (8) to space of sequences of linear positive operators to approximate the functions that belong to $L_{p,\omega}$ spaces via matrix summability method.

2. Main Result

Throughout this section we will use the following notations: $A^{(n)}(f; x)$ is the double sequence:

$$A_k^{(n)}(f; x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{kj}^{(n)} L_j(f(t); x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{9}$$

and minimum and maximum values of the weight function ω on finite intervals will be denoted by ω_{\min} and ω_{\max} , respectively.

Now we present the following main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^n\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with nonnegative real entries and let $\{L_j\}$ be a sequence of positive linear operators from $L_{p,\omega}$ into $L_{p,\omega}$. Assume that*

$$\sup_{n,k} \|A_k^{(n)}\|_{L_{p,\omega} \rightarrow L_{p,\omega}} < \infty. \tag{10}$$

If

$$\limsup_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t^i; x) - x^i\|_{p,\omega} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \tag{11}$$

then for any function $f \in L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R})$, one has

$$\limsup_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\omega} = 0. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Let $\chi_1^A(t)$ be the characteristic function of the interval $[-A, A]$ and $\chi_2^A(t) = 1 - \chi_1^A(t)$ for any $A \geq 0$. We can choose a sufficient large A such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|f \chi_2^A\|_{p,\omega} < \varepsilon. \tag{13}$$

Using the assumption of the convergence of the series (9) for each k, n , and f and the linearity of the operators L_j , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\omega} \\ &= \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (\chi_1^A + \chi_2^A) f - (\chi_1^A + \chi_2^A) f\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\leq \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (\chi_1^A f) - \chi_1^A f\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (\chi_2^A f) - \chi_2^A f\|_{p,\omega} \\ &= \sup_n I_n' + \sup_n I_n'' \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By condition (10), there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n,k} \|A_k^{(n)}\|_{p,\omega} \leq K. \tag{15}$$

Hence, from (13), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n I_n'' &\leq \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} \chi_2^A f\|_{p,\omega} + \|\chi_2^A f\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\leq (K + 1) \|\chi_2^A f\|_{p,\omega} \\ &< (K + 1) \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

For every function $f \in L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R})$ the inequality

$$\|\chi_2^A f\|_p \leq \omega_{\min}^{-1/p} \|f\|_{p,\omega} \tag{17}$$

implies that $L_{p,\omega}(-A, A) \subset L_p(-A, A)$. Since the space of continuous functions is dense in $L_p(-A, A)$, given $f \in L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R})$, for each $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists a continuous function φ on $[-A, A]$ satisfying the condition $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| > A$ such that

$$\|(f - \varphi)\chi_1^A\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon'}{(K + 1)\omega_{\max}^{1/p}}. \tag{18}$$

Using the inequalities (15) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n I'_n &= \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(\chi_1^A f) - \chi_1^A f\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\leq \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(f - \varphi)\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A) - \varphi\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega} + \|(f - \varphi)\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\leq \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A) - \varphi\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega} + \varepsilon'. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, since $\chi_2^{A_1}\chi_1^A\varphi = 0$ for some $A_1 > A$, we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A) - \varphi\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega} &= \sup_n \|(\chi_1^{A_1} + \chi_2^{A_1})A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A) - (\chi_1^{A_1} + \chi_2^{A_1})\varphi\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\leq \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A) - \varphi\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \sup_n \|\chi_2^{A_1}A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A)\|_{p,\omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Now, by supposing that $M_\varphi = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi(t)|\chi_1^A(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \|\chi_2^{A_1}A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A)\|_{p,\omega} &= \sup_n \left(\int_{|t|>A_1} |A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A; t)|^p \omega(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq M_\varphi \sup_n \left(\int_{|t|>A_1} |A_k^{(n)}(1; t) - 1|^p \omega(t) dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + M_\varphi \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_2^{A_1} \omega(t) dt \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Since $\omega \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, we can choose the number A_1 such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_2^{A_1} \omega(t) dt \right)^{1/p} < \frac{\varepsilon'}{M_\varphi}. \tag{22}$$

Using this inequality, we have

$$\sup_n \|\chi_2^{A_1}A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A)\|_{p,\omega} \leq M_\varphi \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(1; x) - 1\|_{p,\omega} + \varepsilon'. \tag{23}$$

As a corollary, we get the following inequality for $\sup_n I'_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n I'_n &\leq 2\varepsilon' + M_\varphi \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(1; x) - 1\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A) - \varphi\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Since $\varphi\chi_1^A$ is a continuous function on $[-A, A]$, for any given $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(t)\chi_1^A(t) - \varphi(x)\chi_1^A(x)| < \varepsilon' + 2M_\varphi \frac{(t-x)^2}{\delta^2}. \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, this inequality also holds in the case that $t \in [-A, A]$ and that $x \in [-A_1, -A] \cup [A, A_1]$ since $\varphi(x)\chi_1^A(x) = 0$ and $\varphi(t)\chi_1^A(t)$ are continuous. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(\varphi\chi_1^A) - \varphi\chi_1^A\|_{p,\omega} &\leq \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(|\varphi(t)\chi_1^A(t) - \varphi(x)\chi_1^A(x)|; x)\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \sup_n \|\varphi(x)\chi_1^A(x)(A_k^{(n)}(1; x) - 1)\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\leq \left(\varepsilon' + \frac{2M_\varphi}{\delta^2} A^2 + M_\varphi \right) \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(1; x) - 1\|_{p,\omega} + \varepsilon' \\ &\quad + \frac{4M_\varphi}{\delta^2} A \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t; x) - x\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \frac{2M_\varphi}{\delta^2} \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t^2; x) - x^2\|_{p,\omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Using (24) and (26), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n I'_n &\leq 3\varepsilon' + \left(\varepsilon' + \frac{2M_\varphi}{\delta^2} A^2 + 2M_\varphi \right) \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(1; x) - 1\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \frac{4M_\varphi}{\delta^2} A \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t; x) - x\|_{p,\omega} \\ &\quad + \frac{2M_\varphi}{\delta^2} \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t^2; x) - x^2\|_{p,\omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Then we obtain the following equality for (14):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\omega} \\ & \leq 3\varepsilon' + (K + 1)\varepsilon + C \left\{ \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(1; x) - 1\|_{p,\omega} \right. \\ & \quad + \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t; x) - x\|_{p,\omega} \\ & \quad \left. + \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t^2; x) - x^2\|_{p,\omega} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $C := \max\{\varepsilon' + (2M_\varphi/\delta^2)A^2 + 2M_\varphi, (4M_\varphi/\delta^2)A, (2M_\varphi/\delta^2)\}$. By the hypothesis of theorem and arbitrariness of ε and ε' , $\sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\omega} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ which is desired result. \square

Now we give an example of a sequence of positive linear operators which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 in weighted space $L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 2. We choose $\omega(x) = e^{-x}$. Note that this selection of ω satisfies condition (1). Also note that for $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : e^{-x} f(x) \in L_p(\mathbb{R})\}. \tag{29}$$

Also $A^n = C$ for each n where C is the Cesàro matrix; that is,

$$c_{kj} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & 1 \leq j \leq k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

The Kantorovich variant of the Szász-Mirakyan operators [18] by replacing $f(sb_k/k)$ with an integral mean of $f(x)$ over the interval $[(s + 1)b_k/k, sb_k/k]$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(f; x) & := \frac{k}{b_k} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} P_{k,s}(x) \int_{sb_k/k}^{(s+1)b_k/k} f(t) dt, \\ & k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [0, b_k), \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where (b_k) is a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_k}{k} & = 0, & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k & = \infty, \\ P_{k,s}(x) & := e^{-kx/b_k} \frac{(kx)^s}{s! b_k^s}, & s & = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

It is known that

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(1; x) & = 1, & S_k(t; x) & = x + \frac{b_k}{2k}, \\ S_k(t^2; x) & = x^2 + \frac{2b_k}{k}x + \frac{b_k^2}{3k^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Furthermore by simple calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(1; x) - 1\|_{p,\omega} = 0, \\ & \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t; x) - x\|_{p,\omega} = \frac{1}{2k} \|1\|_{p,\omega} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{j}, \\ & \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t^2; x) - x^2\|_{p,\omega} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{k} \|x\|_{p,\omega} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{j} + \frac{1}{3k} \|1\|_{p,\omega} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j^2}{j^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n,k} \|A_k^{(n)}\|_{L_{p,\omega} \rightarrow L_{p,\omega}} \\ & = \sup_{n,k} \sup_{\|f\|_{p,\omega}=1} \|A_k^{(n)}(f; x)\|_{p,\omega} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Hence, conditions (10), (11) are provided which means that for any function $f \in L_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\lim_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\omega} = 0. \tag{36}$$

Also, analogue of Theorem 1 for the space of function of several variables can be obtained. Now, we establish this theorem. For the sake of convenient notation, we present our second results on $L_{p,\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, instead of $L_{p,\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to avoid any confusion about the indices of $\mathcal{A} = \{A^n\}$.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^n\}$ be a sequence of infinite matrices with nonnegative real entries and let $\{L_j\}$ be a sequence of positive linear operators from $L_{p,\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ into $L_{p,\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Assume that

$$\sup_{n,k} \|A_k^{(n)}\|_{L_{p,\Omega} \rightarrow L_{p,\Omega}} < \infty. \tag{37}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(1; x) - 1\|_{p,\Omega} & = 0, \\ \lim_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(t_i; x) - x_i\|_{p,\Omega} & = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\lim_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(|t|^2; x) - |x|^2\|_{p,\Omega} = 0,$$

then for any function $f \in L_{p,\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, one has

$$\lim_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\Omega} = 0. \tag{39}$$

Proof. Considering the characteristic function χ_1^A of the ball $|x| \leq A$ and $\chi_2^A(t) = 1 - \chi_1^A(t)$, it is possible to choose a sufficient large A such that

$$\|f \chi_2^A(t)\|_{p,\Omega} < \varepsilon. \tag{40}$$

On the other hand, by condition (37) there exists a positive constant K such that $\|A_k^{(n)}\|_{p,\Omega} \leq K$, and so, for given $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists a continuous function θ on $|x| \leq A$ satisfying the condition $\theta(x) = 0$ for $|x| > A$ such that

$$\|(f - \theta) \chi_1^A\|_{p,\Omega} < \frac{\varepsilon'}{(K + 1) (\max_{|t| \leq A} \Omega(t))^{1/p}}. \tag{41}$$

Keeping in mind the fact that the series (9) is a convergence for each k, n , and f , and using the linearity of the operators L_j , which means the linearity of $A_k^{(n)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\Omega} &\leq \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (\chi_1^A \theta) - \chi_1^A \theta\|_{p,\Omega} \\ &+ (K + 1) \varepsilon + \varepsilon'. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Let $A_1 > A$, so we also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (\chi_1^A \theta) - \chi_1^A \theta\|_{p,\Omega} \\ &\leq \sup_n \|[A_k^{(n)} (\chi_1^A \theta) - \chi_1^A \theta] \chi_1^{A_1}\|_{p,\Omega} \\ &+ M_\theta \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (1) - 1\|_{p,\Omega} \\ &+ M_\theta \|\chi_2^{A_1}\|_{p,\Omega}, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where $M_\theta := \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}^m} |\theta(t)| \chi_1^{A_1}(t)$. Furthermore, we can choose A_1 such that $\|\chi_2^{A_1}\|_{p,\Omega} < \varepsilon'/M_\theta$, and for sufficiently large k , we estimate $\sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (1) - 1\|_{p,\Omega} < \varepsilon'/M_\theta$. Using these estimations in (42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\Omega} &\leq \sup_n \|[A_k^{(n)} (\chi_1^A \theta) - \chi_1^A \theta] \chi_1^{A_1}\|_{p,\Omega} \\ &+ (K + 1) \varepsilon + 3\varepsilon'. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Since

$$|\chi_1^A(t) \theta(t) - \chi_1^A(x) \theta(x)| < \varepsilon' + 2M_\theta \frac{|t - x|^2}{\delta^2}, \tag{45}$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\Omega} \\ &\leq (K + 1) \varepsilon + 4\varepsilon' K \|\Omega\|_1^{1/p} \\ &+ 2M_\theta \frac{(1 + A)^2}{\delta^2} \left\{ \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (|t|^2; x) - |x|^2\|_{p,\Omega} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^m \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (t_l; x) - x_l\|_{p,\Omega} \\ &\left. + \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} (1) - 1\|_{p,\Omega} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Using the conditions of theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\Omega} \\ &\leq (K + 1) \varepsilon + 4\varepsilon' K \|\Omega\|_1^{1/p} + 2M_\theta \frac{(1 + A)^2}{\delta^2} \\ &\times \left[\frac{\delta^2 \varepsilon_1^*}{2M_\theta (1 + A)^2} + m \frac{\delta^2 \varepsilon_2^*}{2M_\theta (1 + A)^2} + \frac{\delta^2 \varepsilon_3^*}{2M_\theta (1 + A)^2} \right] \\ &= (K + 1) \varepsilon + 4\varepsilon' K \|\Omega\|_1^{1/p} + \varepsilon_1^* + m\varepsilon_2^* + \varepsilon_3^*, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

which means that

$$\lim_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p,\Omega} = 0. \tag{48}$$

□

Now we give the following example.

Example 4. We choose $\Omega(x, y) = e^{-x-y}$. Note that this selection of Ω satisfies condition (3). Also note that, for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$L_{p,\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \Omega(x, y) f(x) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)\}. \tag{49}$$

Also $A^n = C$ for each n where C is the Cesàro matrix, that is;

$$c_{kj} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & 1 \leq j \leq k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

The Kantorovich variant of the Szász-Mirakyan operators [18] by replacing $f(tb_k/k, sb_k/k)$ with an integral mean of $f(x, y)$ over the interval $[(t + 1)b_k/k, tb_k/k] \times [(s + 1)b_k/k, sb_k/k]$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(f; x, y) &:= \frac{k^2}{b_k^2} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} P_{k,t,s}(x, y) \\ &\times \int_{tb_k/k}^{(t+1)b_k/k} \int_{sb_k/k}^{(s+1)b_k/k} f(u, v) du dv, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

$k \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in [0, b_k),$

where (b_k) is a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying the condition

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_k}{k} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = \infty, \\ &P_{k,t,s}(x, y) := e^{-(k(x+y)/b_k)} \frac{(kx)^t (ky)^s}{t!s!b_k^{t+s}}, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

$t, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

It is known that

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(1; x, y) &= 1, \\ S_k(u; x, y) &= x + \frac{b_k}{2k}, \\ S_k(v; x, y) &= y + \frac{b_k}{2k}, \\ S_k(u^2 + v^2; x, y) &= x^2 + y^2 + \frac{2b_k}{k}(x + y) + \frac{2b_k^2}{3k^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Furthermore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(1; x, y) - 1\|_{p, \Omega} &= 0, \\ \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(u; x, y) - x\|_{p, \Omega} &= \frac{1}{2k} \|1\|_{p, \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{j}, \\ \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(v; x, y) - y\|_{p, \Omega} &= \frac{1}{2k} \|1\|_{p, \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{j}, \\ \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)}(u^2 + v^2; x, y) - (x^2 + y^2)\|_{p, \Omega} & \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k} \|x + y\|_{p, \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j}{j} + \frac{2}{3k} \|1\|_{p, \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{b_j^2}{j^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n, k} \|A_k^{(n)}\|_{L_{p, \Omega} \rightarrow L_{p, \Omega}} & \\ &= \sup_{n, k} \sup_{\|f\|_{p, \Omega}=1} \|A_k^{(n)}(f; x, y)\|_{p, \Omega} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Hence, conditions (10) and (11) are provided which means that for any function $f \in L_{p, \Omega}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\limsup_k \sup_n \|A_k^{(n)} f - f\|_{p, \Omega} = 0. \quad (56)$$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to referee(s) for making valuable suggestions leading to the better presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Theory of Approximation*, Hindustan, Delhi, India, 1960.
- [2] A. D. Gadjiev, "On P.P. Korovkin type theorems," *Matematicheskie Zametki*, vol. 20, pp. 781–786, 1976 (Russian).
- [3] P. C. Curtis Jr., "The degree of approximation by positive convolution operators," *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 153–160, 1965.
- [4] V. K. Dzjadyk, "Approximation of functions by positive linear operators and singular integrals," *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, vol. 112, no. 70, pp. 508–517, 1966 (Russian).
- [5] A. D. Gadjiev and A. Aral, "Weighted L_p -approximation with positive linear operators on unbounded sets," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 1046–1051, 2007.
- [6] R. Bojanic and F. Cheng, "Estimates for the rate of approximation of functions of bounded variation by Hermite-Fejer polynomials," in *Proceedings of the Canadian Mathematical Society Conference*, vol. 3, pp. 5–17, 1983.
- [7] R. Bojanic and M. K. Khan, "Summability of Hermite-Fejer interpolation for functions of bounded variation," *Journal of Natural Sciences and Mathematics*, vol. 32, pp. 5–10, 1992.
- [8] H. T. Bell, "Order summability and almost convergence," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 38, pp. 548–552, 1973.
- [9] M. Stieglitz, "Eine verallgemeinerung des begriffs festkonvergenz," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 18, pp. 53–70, 1973.
- [10] G. G. Lorentz, "A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 167–190, 1960.
- [11] T. Nishishiraho, "Quantitative theorems on linear approximation processes of convolution operators in Banach spaces," *Tôhoku Mathematical Journal*, vol. 33, pp. 109–126, 1981.
- [12] T. Nishishiraho, "Convergence of positive linear approximation processes," *Tôhoku Mathematical Journal*, vol. 35, pp. 441–458, 1983.
- [13] J. J. Swetits, "On summability and positive linear operators," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 186–188, 1979.
- [14] S. A. Mohiuddine, "An application of almost convergence in approximation theorems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 1856–1860, 2011.
- [15] S. A. Mohiuddine and A. Alotaibi, "Korovkin second theorem via statistical summability $(C, 1)$," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, p. 149, 2013.
- [16] Ö. G. Atlihan and C. Orhan, "Matrix summability and positive linear operators," *Positivity*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 387–398, 2007.
- [17] Ö. G. Atlihan and C. Orhan, "Summation process of positive linear operators," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 1188–1195, 2008.
- [18] O. Szász, "Generalization of S. Bernstein's polynomials to infinite interval," *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, vol. 45, pp. 239–245, 1959.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

