Predicting probability of tolerating discrete amounts of peanut protein in allergic children using epitope–specific IgE antibody profiling

Maria Suprun | Paul Kearney | Clive Hayward | Heather Butler | Robert Getts | Scott H. Sicherer | Paul J. Turner | Dianne E. Campbell | Hugh A. Sampson

ARTICLE SUMMARY

- Existing diagnostic testing is not predictive of severity or the threshold dose of clinical reactivity, and many patients still require an Oral Food Challenge (OFC). While OFCs are very useful for making an allergy diagnosis and determining clinical reactivity, they often cause anaphylaxis, which can increase patient anxiety. and are time and resource intensive.[1]

- An extensive validation was performed across 5 cohorts (all with confirmed oral food challenge results) across six different countries. Cohorts used: BOPI, OPIA, CAFETERIA, CoFAR6, and PEPITES with specimens from Australia, UK, US, Ireland, and Germany.

- This paper reports the first validated algorithm using two key peanut specific IgE epitopes to predict probabilities of reaction to different amounts of peanut in allergic subjects and may provide a useful clinical substitute for peanut oral food challenges.

- Using the algorithm, subjects were assigned into "high", "moderate", or "low" dose reactivity groups. On average, subjects in the "high" group were 4 times more likely to tolerate a specific dose, compared to the "low" group.[1] For example, 88% of patients in the high dose reactivity group were able to tolerate ≥ 144 mg of peanut protein whereas only 29% were able to tolerate the same amount in the low dose reactivity group.[1-2]

CLINICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- The new epitope test offers more granular information to help clinicians stratify treatment and peanut avoidance plans for their patients.

- See below for summary of clinical considerations based on threshold reactivity level.[1]

allergenis peanut diagnostic result	clinical considerations[1]
likely allergic – low dose reactor	• inform or avoid oral food challenge to reduce risk of anaphlyaxis • confirm strict avoidance of peanut • consider immunotherapy to reduce risk of reaction
likely allergic – moderate dose reactor	• consider a single oral food challenge (30 to 100 mg) to reduce anxiety and improve quality of life • less stringent avoidance of peanut regime • consider inclusions of precautionary labeled foods such as 'May contain peanut' • consider immunotherapy to reduce risk of reaction
likely allergic – high dose reactor	• consider a single oral food challenge (100 to 300 mg) to reduce anxiety and improve quality of life • less stringent avoidance of peanut regime • consider inclusions of precautionary labeled foods such as 'May contain peanut' • consider starting immunotherapy at higher doses to shorten time to maintenance dose
unlikely allergic	• oral food challenge to rule out the diagnosis of peanut allergy

HOW TO ORDER TESTING

- Visit allergenis.com and complete the account set up form
- Choose your phlebotomy preference (in-office or mobile phlebotomy)
- Place your order through our online platform
- Receive the results

order now

ATTEND A WEBINAR

Upcoming webinars to learn more about the clinical utility of the thresholds.

Clinical Utility of Thresholds in Patient Management

Dr. Hugh Sampson from the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

November 21, 2022 @ 2 pm EST

Scan to register.

REFERENCES

1. Suprun M, Kearney P, Hayward C, et al. Predicting probability of tolerating discrete amounts of peanut protein in allergic children using epitope-specific IgE antibody profiling. *Allergy.* 2022;00:1-9. doi: 10.1111/all.15477
2. Sindher SB, Long A, Chin AR, Hy A, Sampath V, Nadeau KC, Chinthrajah RS. Food allergy, mechanisms, diagnosis and treatment: Innovation through a multi-targeted approach. *Allergy.* 2022 Jun 22. doi: 10.1111/all.15418. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 35730331.

Visit allergenis.com for more information or to start ordering.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Rhinitis, Sinusitis, and Upper Airway Disease

Allergy EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY WILEY

"Whole" vs. "fragmented" approach to EAACI pollen season definitions: A multicenter study in six Southern European cities

Tara Maria Hoffmann[1] | Aydan Acar Şahin[2] | Xenophon Aggelidis[3] | Stefania Arasi[4] |
Andrea Barbalace[5] | Anne Bourgoin[6,7] | Blerina Bregu[8] | Maria Antonia Brighetti[9] |
Elsa Caeiro[10,11] | Sule Caglayan Sozmen[12] | Lucia Caminiti[5] | Denis Charpin[6,7] |
Mariana Couto[13] | Luís Delgado[14,15,16] | Andrea Di Rienzo Businco[17] | Claire Dimier[6,7] |
Maria V. Dimou[18] | João A. Fonseca[15,16,19] | Ozlem Goksel[20] | Aykut Guvensen[21] |
Dolores Hernandez[22] | Dah Tay Jang[23] | Fusun Kalpakioglu[24] | Blerta Lame[8] |
Ruth Llusar[23] | Michael P. Makris[3] | Angel Mazon[23] | Eris Mesonjesi[8] |
Antonio Nieto[23] | Ayse Öztürk[25] | Laurie Pahus[6,7] | Giovanni Battista Pajno[5] |
Ilenia Panasiti[5] | Valentina Panetta[26,27] | Nikolaos G. Papadopoulos[19,26,27] |
Elisabetta Pellegrini[28] | Simone Pelosi[29] | Ana Margarida Pereira[15,16] | Mariana Pereira[15,16] |
Munevver Pinar[2] | Oliver Pfaar[30] | Ekaterina Potapova[1] | Alfred Priftanji[8] |
Fotis Psarros[31] | Cansin Sackesen[32] | Ifigenia Sfika[17] | Javier Suarez[33] |
Michel Thibaudon[34] | Alessandro Travaglini[9,35] | Salvatore Tripodi[17,36] |
Valentine Verdier[6,7] | Valeria Villella[17] | Paraskevi Xepapadaki[37] | Duygu Yazici[38] |
Paolo M. Matricardi[1] | Stephanie Dramburg[1]

[1]Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Immunology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

[2]Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey

[3]Allergy Unit, 2nd Department of Dermatology and Venereology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, University Hospital "Attikon", Athens, Greece

[4]Pediatric Allergology Unit, Department of Pediatric Medicine, Bambino Gesù Children´s research Hospital (IRCCS), Rome, Italy

[5]Department of Pediatrics- Allergy Unit, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

[6]Department of Pneumonology and Allergy, La Timone Hospital, APHM, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France

[7]Hospital, APHM, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France

[8]Department of Allergology and Clinical Immunology, UHC Mother Teresa, Medical University Tirana, Tirana, Albania

[9]Department of Biology, Tor Vergata University, Rome, Italy

[10]MED - Mediterranean Institute for Agriculture, Environment and Development, Institute for Advanced Studies and Research, University of Évora, Évora, Portugal

[11]Portuguese Society of Allergology and Clinical Immunology, Lisbon, Portugal

[12]Department of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, Okan University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

[13]Immunoallergology, José de Mello Saúde, Porto, Portugal

[14]Basic and Clinical Immunology Unit, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

[15]CINTESIS, Center for Health Technology and Services Research, Bologna, Portugal

[16]Allergy Unit, Instituto & Hospital CUF Porto, Porto, Portugal

[17]Pediatric Allergy Unit, Sandro Pertini Hospital, Rome, Italy

[18]Allergy Department, 2nd Pediatric Clinic, Athens General Children's Hospital "P&A Kyriakou", University of Athens, Athens, Greece

[19]MEDCIDS-Department of Community Medicine, Information, and Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

[20]Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Division of Immunology, Allergy and Asthma, Faculty of Medicine, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

[21]Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

[22]Service of Allergy, Hospital La Fe; Health Research Institute La Fe, Valencia, Spain

[23]Pediatric Allergy and Pneumology Unit, Children's Hospital La Fe; Health Research Institute La Fe, Valencia, Spain

[24]Department of Chest Diseases, Division of Immunology and Allergic Diseases, Kırıkkale University School of Medicine, Kırıkkale, Turkey

[25]Department of Allergy and Immunology, Koç University Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

[26]Biostatistics, L'altrastatistica srl, Consultancy & Training, Rome, Italy

[27]Division of Infection, Immunity& Respiratory Medicine, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

[28]Department of Reggio Calabria, ARPACal- Regional Agency for Environmental Protection, Messina, Italy

[29]TPS Production srl, Rome, Italy

[30]Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Phillipps-Universität, Marburg, Germany

[31]Allergy Department, Athens Naval Hospital, Athens, Greece

[32]Division of Pediatric Allergy, Koç University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

[33]Department of Biology of Organisms and Systems, Area of Botany, University of Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

[34]Réseau National de Surveillance Aérobiologique, Brussieu, France

[35]Italian Aerobiology Monitoring Network - Italian Aerobiology Association, Bologna, Italy

[36]Allergology Service, Policlinico Casilino, Rome, Italy

[37]Allergy Department, 2nd Pediatric Clinic, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

[38]Cellular and Molecular Medicine, KUTTAM, Graduate School of Health Sciences, Koç University, Istanbul, Turkey

Correspondence
Paolo M. Matricardi, Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Immunology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Augustenburger Platz, 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany.
Email: paolo.matricardi@charite.de

Abstract

Background: The adequate definition of pollen seasons is essential to facilitate a correct diagnosis, treatment choice, and outcome assessment in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. A position paper by the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) proposed season definitions for Northern and Middle Europe.

Objective: To test the pollen season definitions proposed by EAACI in six Mediterranean cities for seven pollen *taxa*.

Methods: As part of the @IT.2020 multi-center study, pollen counts for Poaceae, Oleaceae, Fagales, Cupressaceae, Urticaceae (*Parietaria* spp.*)*, and Compositae (*Ambrosia* spp., *Artemisia* spp.) were collected from January 1 to December 31, 2018. Based on these data, pollen seasons were identified according to EAACI criteria. A unified monitoring period for patients in AIT trials was created and assessed for feasibility.

Results: The analysis revealed a great heterogeneity between the different locations in terms of pattern and length of the examined pollen seasons. Further, we found a fragmentation of pollen seasons in several segments (max. 8) separated by periods of low pollen counts (intercurrent periods). Potential monitoring periods included often many recording days with low pollen exposure (max. 341 days).

Conclusion: The Mediterranean climate leads to challenging pollen exposure times. Monitoring periods for AIT trials based on existing definitions may include many intermittent days with low pollen concentrations. Therefore, it is necessary to find an adapted pollen season definition as individual solution for each pollen and geographical area.

KEYWORDS

EAACI, Mediterranean, pollen allergy, season definitions, seasonal allergic rhinitis

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

Depending on the criteria used, the length of a pollen season can vary dramatically. EAACI criteria generate very extended pollen seasons in Southern Europe, which also include many days/weeks with no/low pollen counts. The exclusion of "intercurrent periods" with no/low pollen counts ("fragmented pollen season" method) improves the accuracy of EAACI criteria.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) poses a great socioeconomic burden affecting between 10% and 30% of the world population.[1] Symptoms occur in seasons during which pollen are abundant in the outdoor air. Depending on the geographic location and local climate, the timeframes of high pollen exposure may vary significantly. Thus, a correct and precise definition of the start and end of allergenic pollen seasons is crucial for an adequate diagnostic approach.[2,3] In Southern European countries, pollen calendars, based on the daily monitoring of pollen concentrations in the local air, have been established as a widely used methodology to report pollination periods throughout the year.[4-7] In their most frequent version, these calendars are based on data from a minimum of 5 years and structured in 36 sections of 10 days, usually color coded, for example, to represent absent (white), low (yellow), intermediate (orange), or high (red) pollen concentrations in the atmosphere. Pollen calendars are then used by allergists to establish a cause-effect association between allergic sensitization to a given pollen, demonstrated by IgE tests or skin prick tests, and symptoms occurring during exposure to that pollen.[5,8,9] However, trends of climate change are progressively modifying the pollination periods of many allergenic species and—in parallel—the seasonality of the allergic symptoms triggered by these pollens.[10,11] Therefore, the reliability and precision of historically acquired pollen data (pollen calendars) as a tool to predict and define future and current pollen seasons are increasingly questioned.[12,13]

Given this premise, current monitoring of pollen counts is increasingly needed to define, year after year, the start, course, and end of a pollen season. Several criteria for pollen season definitions have been proposed in the last decades.[13-20] These definitions rely on percentages, thresholds, and trend analyses as well as inter-regional comparisons. However, thresholds vary from study to study, even if performed in the same climatic area and there remains a lack of harmonization and validation of one (gold)standard as demanded by academia and regulatory authorities.[21-23] To overcome this need, a task force of the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) recently published a position paper providing pollen exposure time definitions for middle and northern European countries, which are easily applicable thanks to clear methodological instructions.[24] This consensus acquired special relevance for clinical trials of allergen immunotherapy (AIT), for which the clinical endpoints are defined according to predefined seasonal time periods based on pollen concentrations.[24]

However, the inter-regional geographic and climatic influences on the vegetation may make the adoption of the same standardized thresholds difficult in Southern European countries. The typical vegetation in the Mediterranean zone is abundant in Urticaceae (*Parietaria* spp. and *Urtica* spp.), Oleaceae, Cupressaceae, Poaceae (Graminaceae), Compositae (Asteraceae), and many other allergenic species, with internal variations by region and country.[25] Therefore, the Mediterranean region, as established climatic and vegetation zone, shows different characteristics not only when compared to Northern and Middle Europe, but also within its own northern or southern, urban, or rural territories.[6,25,26] This heterogeneity is further complicated by the fact that pollen seasons widely overlap, making a diagnostic use of pollen calendars very difficult for the allergist, especially in the case of polysensitized patients.[25,27]

So far, the EAACI criteria have been confirmed in retrospective analyses on the Poaceae pollen seasons between 2012 and 2016 in Germany.[28] Another study compared two definitions (EAACI vs European Aerobiology Network [EAN]) of several pollen seasons (birch, hazel, alder, grass, ragweed, mugwort) in Austria (Vienna) in 2018.[29] We have targeted the present study to define the seasonality of seven pollen taxa during 2018 using the EAACI definitions in six cities of four Southern European countries, namely Rome, Messina (Italy), Marseille (France), Valencia (Spain), Istanbul, and Izmir (Turkey).

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study centers

The present study was conducted as part of the "@IT.2020" multicenter project, an observational longitudinal study on the combined impact of molecular IgE tests and mobile health technology on the diagnosis and allergen immunotherapy prescription for seasonal allergic rhinitis in Southern European countries. From January 1 to December 31, 2018, aerobiological monitoring was performed in six Southern European cities: Valencia, Marseille, Rome, Messina, Istanbul, and Izmir. The Mediterranean sub-tropical climate is overall characterized by mild winters, opposed by long and dry summer periods. While Valencia, Marseille, Messina, Istanbul, and Izmir are located directly next to the sea, Rome is situated at 30km inland from the coast. Moreover, several other meteorological differences among the six cities are known (Table S1).

2.2 | Pollen data

The pollen of Cupressaceae (Cupressaceae and Taxaceae), Fagales (Fagaceae, and Betulaceae), Oleaceae, Poaceae, Urticaceae (*Parietaria* spp.), *Ambrosia* spp., and *Artemisia* spp. (Compositae) were monitored. Being a clinical study, Fagaceae, and Betulaceae were considered as a single group belonging to the Fagales botanical order as they all contain cross-reactive PR-10-like proteins. The most relevant sources of allergenic pollen from this order, such as Betula verrucosa (Birch), Alnus glutinosa (Alder), Carpinus betulus (Hornbeam), Corylus avellana (Hazel), Quercus alba (Oak), Castanea sativa (Chestnut), and Fagus sylvatica (Beech), are represented within the monitored plants of the Fagales order. Pollen counts were recorded with validated methodologies [30] by using a volumetric Hirst type sampler (Burkard or VPPS Lanzoni) with a suction flow of 10 L of air per minute, which allows a continuous sampling for up to 7 days (Table S1). The trap's surface for collecting pollen grains is a 7-day transparent Melinex tape with silicon (polydimethylsiloxane) for Lanzoni traps and Vaseline for Burkard traps. The samples have been prepared as glass slides for the microscopic analysis, using Fuchsine as coloring medium. The reading of the slides was performed by experienced (5-29 years of experience) and locally trained aerobiologists with an optical microscope at a magnification of 400×. Daily pollen concentrations are expressed as pollen grains per cubic meter air (pollen grains/m^3) as previously recommended.[29,31] In

order to obtain the concentration value from the pollen data, the count is multiplied by a conversion factor specific to the microscope and lens combination that were used.[32] The pollen monitoring and reading were carried out according to the minimum requirement criteria for pollen monitoring networks.[33] The aerobiological centers in Marseille, Rome, and Messina belong to established aerobiological monitoring networks, namely RNSA, RIMA-AIA, and ARPACal, respectively. Further, linear interpolation of data gaps has been performed following the computational tool "AeRobiology" of the software R which has been designed specifically to calculate aerobiological data.[34]

2.3 | Season definitions

The season definition criteria adopted in this study are those proposed by a recent EAACI position paper.[24] Briefly, EAACI criteria define for each pollen (*Betula* spp., Poaceae, *Cupressus* spp., *Olea* spp., *Ambrosia* spp.) a start and a stop signal based on daily and cumulative pollen counts within a short sequence of days.[24] For example, the Fagales (*Betula spp.*) pollen season starts with the 1st of 5 days (out of seven consecutive days) with ≥10 pollen grains/m^3, when the cumulative pollen concentration of these 5 days is ≥100 pollen grains/m^3. The season ends after the last day respecting the same conditions, that is, the last of 5 days (out of seven consecutive days) with ≥10 pollen grains/m^3, when the sum of these 5 days is ≥100 pollen grains/m^3. Throughout the text, these conditions will be termed "start signal" and "stop signal" accordingly. For other pollen taxa, the daily concentrations and cumulative thresholds have been adapted (Table 1). These EAACI criteria generate seasons composed by a single, continuous period for each pollen species, by defining the very first and the very last day of a season. By adjusting the established thresholds, the EAACI criteria define two types of seasons, a "pollen season" (longer) and "high season" (shorter), by using lower and higher thresholds, respectively (Table 1).[24] As these definitions do not take into account stop signals occurring during the season, they generate continuous seasons without interruption. These seasons will be termed "Whole Pollen Season (WPS)" and "Whole High Season (WHS)," respectively, throughout the manuscript. In our study, we have further established two additional season definitions by taking into account stop signals according to EAACI definition occurring during a season. These stop signals (eg, for Fagales the last of five days [out of seven consecutive days] with ≥10 pollen grains/m^3, when the sum of these 5 days is ≥100 pollen grains/m^3) create an interruption of the season which is later continued as further start signals occur, creating an intercurrent period of days not fulfilling the season criteria. Considering the resulting fragments, additional season definitions have been termed "fragmented pollen season" (FPS) and "fragmented high season" (FHS). In this second representation, a season might be characterized by several fragments/periods interrupted by out-of-season periods. The EAACI criteria also define "high days (HD)" as those days with pollen counts exceeding a given threshold. This EAACI HD threshold is fixed for Cupressaceae, Oleaceae, and Fagales at ≥100 pollen grains/m^3,

TABLE 1 Overview of season definition criteria in accordance with the EAACI position paper [24]. Thresholds[a] for whole pollen season (WPS), whole high season (WHS), and high days (HD) are presented

	Season start			Season end	
	Pollen season	High season	High days	Pollen season	High season
Pollen	1st of 5/7 d (sum 5 d)	1st of 3 d		Last of 5/7 d (sum 5 d)	Last of 3 d
Cupressaceae, Oleaceae	20 (200)	100	100	20 (200)	100
Betula spp., Fagales (except *Betula* spp.)[b]	10 (100)	100	100	10 (100)	100
Poaceae, Urticaceae[b], *Ambrosia* spp., *Artemisia* spp.[b]	3 (30)	50	50	3 (30)	50

[a]Equal or higher than the indicated value in pollen grains/m^3.

[b]Adaptation of the EAACI criteria for the present study as these pollen species had not been included in the EAACI position paper.

while for Poaceae and *Ambrosia* spp., it is fixed at ≥50 pollen grains/m^3 (Table 1). We have adopted the EAACI thresholds and criteria for Cupressaceae, *Betula* spp., Poaceae, Oleaceae, and *Ambrosia* spp. For Fagales (Betulaceae except *Betula* spp., and Fagaceae) we adopted the EAACI criteria for *Betula* spp., while for Urticaceae, and *Artemisia* spp., which were not considered in the original paper,[24] we have adopted the criteria established by EAACI for the less abundant pollen (Poaceae),[24] as previously proposed (Table 1).[29] For defined thresholds for the individual pollen seasons, please see Appendix S1.

2.4 | Meteorological data

To assess the influence on pollen concentrations and season length, meteorological data from all six centers were analyzed, see Table S3.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Pollen count courses, by city

The count courses of all seven examined pollens showed similarities and differences among the six included cities. (Figure 1, Figure S1) In Valencia, relevant peaks of Cupressaceae were registered in February and March, while May and June were dominated by Oleaceae, Poaceae, and Urticaceae. In Marseille, Cupressaceae were observed throughout the whole year, reaching extremely high peaks in February and appearing consistently until end of April. Fagales also had high peaks in April. Oleaceae were observed in April and May and Poaceae mostly in May, June, and July. In Rome, Cupressaceae and Fagales were observed from January to April and both reached consistent levels. Urticaceae


FIGURE 1 Daily pollen concentration (pollen grains/m^3) of Cupressaceae, Fagales, Oleaceae, Poaceae, Urticaceae, *Ambrosia* spp., and *Artemisia* spp. in six Southern European/Mediterranean cities in 2018

FIGURE 2 Whole pollen season (WPS), fragmented pollen season (FPS), whole high season (WHS), fragmented high season (FHS), and high days (HD) for the year 2018 in Rome as defined on the basis of the EAACI criteria[24] with further adaptation (see methods). On the left side, the length of each season (number of days) and number of segments are given

were observed from January to September, with its highest peak in April. Oleaceae was observed in April and May, while Poaceae was mostly observed in May and June. In Messina, Urticaceae was the dominating pollen throughout the whole year, with its highest peaks in March and April and a second, smaller wave of peaks in June and July. Also, Cupressaceae was observed mostly in February and March, but at much lower levels than Urticaceae. Relatively low peaks of Poaceae were observed in between April and July. In this center, the pollen counts of Oleaceae were rather low and those of Fagales irrelevant. In Istanbul, Cupressaceae were observed at high peaks in February and March. Fagales and Oleaceae were observed at very low levels only in a few days in February and in March and May, respectively, while *Artemisia* spp. appeared with a small cluster of peaks in August and September. In Izmir, Cupressaceae were observed at extremely high peaks in February and March. Oleaceae appeared at much lower peaks in April and May, while Poaceae and Urticaceae were registered for long periods, from the end of March up to the summer.

3.2 | Pollen seasons in Rome

We arbitrarily chose Rome as the prototype database to start our analytical exercise. By applying the EAACI criteria (Table 1) to the pollen counts registered in that city throughout 2018 (Figure 2), we first identified WPS for five pollens, including Cupressaceae, Fagales, Oleaceae, Poaceae, and Urticaceae, but not for *Artemisia* spp. and *Ambrosia* spp. (Figure 2 and Table 2). The WPS was very

short for Oleaceae (only 10 days), long for Fagales (174 days), Poaceae (103 days), and Cupressaceae (98 days) and extremely long for Urticaceae (238 days). After exclusion of intercurrent periods with low pollen counts, causing an interruption of the season, shorter fragments of pollen seasons, consequently named here "fragmented pollen season" (FPS), were generated, with the number of days decreasing for Fagales (from 174 to 105 days), Poaceae (from 103 to 85 days), Cupressaceae (from 98 to 70 days), and Urticaceae (from 238 to 180 days). Interestingly, FPS was split into three periods for Poaceae (range 10-64 days), four periods for Cupressaceae (range 11-24 days), five periods for Fagales (range 6-58 days), and into seven periods for Urticaceae (range 7-68 days). Similarly, we first identified WHS for Fagales (33 days), Poaceae (33 days), and Urticaceae (7 days only) which were shortened into fragmented high seasons (FHS) by excluding the intercurrent periods. This resulted in FHS of only 13 and 6 days for Fagales and Poaceae, respectively, with two periods each. The number of High Days (HD) ranged from 0 for Oleaceae to 22 for Fagales (Figure 2 and Table 2).

3.3 | WPS and FPS in the six centers

We then applied the same methodology to all the other centers (Figure 3, Tables S2 and 3). We identified WPS for all seven pollen in Marseille, but only for three pollen (Cupressaceae, Poaceae, and Urticaceae) in Messina, and for four pollen for the remaining four centers. The length of the WPS ranged

TABLE 2 Start, end, and length (days) of pollen seasons according to EAACI criteria in Rome during 2018

Pollen	WPS Date Start	End	Days	FPS Segments[a] No	Shortest	Longest	Days	WHS Date Start	End	Days	FHS Segments No	Shortest	Longest	Days	HD Days
Cupressaceae	21 Jan	28 Apr	98	4	11	24	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
Fagales	2 Jan	24 Jun	174	5	6	58	105	10 Apr	12 May	33	2	6	7	13	22
Oleaceae	23 Apr	2 May	10	1	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poaceae	5 Apr	16 Jul	103	3	10	64	85	2 May	3 Jun	33	2	3	3	6	13
Urticaceae	14 Jan	18 Sep	238	7	7	68	180	2 Apr	8 Apr	7	1	7	7	7	19
Ambrosia spp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Artemisia spp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Abbreviations: FHS, fragmented high season; FPS, fragmented pollen season; HD, high days; WHS, whole high season; WPS, whole pollen season.

[a]Season is divided into >2 periods considering all the stop and start signals observed between the first start and the last stop signal – the respective intermediate periods (bridged gaps) are then excluded from computation. The number of segments as well as the length of the shortest and longest segment in days are indicated.

from a minimum of 8 days for *Artemisia* spp. in Istanbul to a maximum of 363 days for Urticaceae in Messina. In some cases (Cupressaceae in Izmir; Poaceae and *Artemisia* spp. in Istanbul; Oleaceae in Valencia), the WPS and the FPS coincided perfectly. In others (Cupressaceae in Marseille; Cupressaceae and Urticaceae in Valencia), significant reductions in the season length were observed when the intercurrent periods were excluded (Figure S2). The most fragmented pollen season was that of Urticaceae in Valencia, with eight different segments (ranging from 6 to 28 days) while the longest interruption period occurred during the Cupressaceae WPS in Valencia (201 days).

3.4 | WHS, FHS, and HD in the six centers (Appendix S1)

In contrast to seven WPS, only three WHS (Cupressaceae, Fagales, and Urticaceae) were identified in Marseille (Figure 3, Tables S2, 3). Similarly, less WHS than WPS were identified in all the other centers, with the extreme case of Istanbul, where no WHS could be identified for any pollen. The length of the WHS was always much shorter than that of the WPS for all pollen in all centers.

With regard to the context of potential clinical trials on allergen immunotherapy, especially in a multicenter setting, establishing a unified symptom monitoring period for participating patients in individual centers is crucial.[22,24] On the basis of our data, we therefore tried to establish a monitoring period, based on the WPS and the WHS definitions, capable of unifying and covering the pollen seasons of all six centers for the pollen taxa with the highest relevance for allergen immunotherapy in Southern Europe (Cupressaceae, Poaceae, Urticaceae) (Figure S3). We then compared the resulting scenario with that generated by the alternative strategy, based on the adaptation of the monitoring period in each city to the local conditions (Figure S3). The comparison clearly showed, for all three pollen, that in the first "unified monitoring period"—solution the number of monitoring days is considerably (up to 341 days) higher than with the localized or flexible solution (Table 4). Moreover, the proportion of no/low pollen days during which patients would have been monitored is, in the "unified monitoring period"—approach up to 47% for the WPS and up to 49% for the WHS (Table 4).

3.5 | Influence of rainfall on season length

See Table S3.

4 | DISCUSSION

We investigated the recently established EAACI pollen season definitions [24] and criteria for seven pollen taxa in six Southern European/Mediterranean cities. We found (a) a great heterogeneity

FIGURE 3 Pollen seasons of Cupressaceae, Fagales, Oleaceae, Urticaceae, Poaceae, *Ambrosia* spp., and *Artemisia* spp. in six Southern European/Mediterranean cities in 2018. Graphical representation of the days included in the whole pollen season (WPS), fragmented pollen season (FPS), whole high season (WHS), fragmented high season (FHS), and high days (HD) as defined on the basis of EAACI criteria[24] with further adaptation (see methods). On the left side, the length of each season (number of days) and number of segments are given

among the six cities in terms of pattern and length of the examined pollen seasons, showing especially long WPS and WHS in some centers; (b) a fragmentation of pollen seasons in several segments separated by periods of low pollen counts (intercurrent periods) and therefore suggest a new definition of "*fragmented pollen season*"; (c) no viable option for a unified pollen calendar for multicenter AIT trials in Southern European or Mediterranean countries. As such, our analysis adds to the recent confirmation of the relevance of the EAACI criteria in Germany [28] and other European countries through a multicenter approach in several Southern European countries.

4.1 | Aerobiological heterogeneity

Firstly, our analysis focused on the high level of aerobiological heterogeneity. All seven investigated pollen *taxa* could be identified on at least one day in each of the six cities, with the exception of *Ambrosia* spp. in Valencia and Izmir. However, the pollen concentration of *Ambrosia* spp. and *Artemisia* spp. in the atmosphere in most cities was neither high nor continuous enough to generate "seasons" according to the EAACI definitions. The six species of *Ambrosia* spp. have different distribution patterns in the Mediterranean basin.[35] As expected, season length was regulated by the threshold and criteria for definition, so that the pollen seasons (WPS, FPS) were always longer than the high seasons (WHS, FHS). The pattern of pollen seasons was very heterogeneous in different centers.

For example, Urticaceae WPS and FPS could be defined in all the centers, while Urticaceae WHS and FHS could be defined only in Messina, Rome, and Marseille. Similarly, Poaceae WPS and FPS could be identified in all six centers, but their length was limited to 28 days in Istanbul and reached up to 265 days in Izmir. Accordingly, WHS were identified in Izmir and Rome but not in the other cities. Indeed, a great heterogeneity in the pollen seasons was found even within countries, as demonstrated by the comparison between the Italian cities of Rome and Messina or the Turkish cities of Istanbul and Izmir. Altogether, this aerobiological scenario prevents the formulation of a unified "Southern European Pollen Calendar" and has an impact on the design of multicenter trials of AIT for pollen allergies in Southern European countries (see below).

4.2 | Fragmented pollen seasons

Another important finding is the comparison of "whole" vs "fragmented" pollen season data. The pollen seasons defined in six cities by applying the EAACI criteria were almost invariably fragmented in many segments.

Given the observed fragmentation, we split each definition into two categories:

- The first category, that is, "WPS" and "WHS", takes into account only the first of the start-days and the last of the stop-days within

TABLE 3 Start, end, and length of defined pollen seasons according to EAACI criteria in six European centers, 2018

	Center	WPS		FPS Periods			WHS		FHS Periods			HD	
		Start [a]	End [a]	(n)	Shortest	Longest	Start [a]	End [a]	(n)	Shortest	longest	(n)	Peak [b]
Cupressaceae	Valencia	5 Mar	12 Dec	4	8	15	9 Mar	29 Mar	2	3	7	17	720
	Marseille	21 Jan	31 Dec	7	5	43	31 Jan	31 Mar	7	3	6	37	3444
	Rome	21 Jan	28 Apr	4	11	24	-	-	-	-	-	7	226
	Messina	16 Feb	21 Mar	3	6	9	-	-	-	-	-	2	134
	Istanbul	18 Feb	17 Mar	3	6	8	-	-	-	-	-	6	618
	Izmir	29 Jan	31 Mar	1	62	62	6 Feb	22 Mar	4	3	24	47	6830
Fagales	Valencia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
	Marseille	17 Feb	29 Apr	3	10	19	-	-	-	-	-	7	679
	Rome	2 Jan	24 Jun	5	6	58	10 Apr	12 May	2	6	7	22	531
	Messina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81
	Istanbul	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
	Izmir	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
Oleaceae	Valencia	28 May	18 Jul	1	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	2	174
	Marseille	16 Apr	31 May	2	8	14	-	-	-	-	-	3	168
	Rome	23 Apr	2 May	1	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	78
	Messina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67
	Istanbul	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
	Izmir	11 Apr	6 Jun	1	57	57	21 Apr	31 May	3	9	17	39	237
Poaceae	Valencia	8 May	16 Jul	3	16	28	-	-	-	-	-	1	53
	Marseille	13 Apr	5 Aug	1	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	4	113
	Rome	5 Apr	16 Jul	3	10	64	2 May	3 Jun	2	3	3	13	115
	Messina	30 Mar	26 Jul	5	8	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
	Istanbul	29 May	25 Jun	1	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
	Izmir	25 Feb	16 Nov	3	3	13	4 Apr	31 May	3	3	43	57	167
Urticaeae	Valencia	8 Mar	31 Dec	8	6	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
	Marseille	3 Apr	15 Sep	2	10	152	18 Jun	25 Jun	-	-	-	13	121
	Rome	14 Jan	18 Sep	7	7	68	2 Apr	8 Apr	1	7	7	19	193
	Messina	1 Jan	29 Dec	6	7	166	3 Jan	16 Jul	12	3	22	115	634
	Istanbul	7 Apr	30 Apr	2	9	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
	Izmir	24 Feb	28 Sep	6	9	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
Ambrosia spp.	Valencia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
	Marseille	14 Aug	24 Sep	2	11	29	-	-	-	-	-	2	105
	Rome	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
	Messina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
	Istanbul	3 Aug	10 Sep	1	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	6	147
	Izmir	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Artemisia spp.	Valencia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
	Marseille	19 Sep	28 Sep	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	57
	Rome	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
	Messina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
	Istanbul	3 Aug	10 Aug	1	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
	Izmir	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Abbreviations: FHS fragmented high season; FPS, fragmented pollen season; HD, high days; WHS, whole high season; WPS, whole pollen season.

[a]Start and end date of the defined season.

[b]Pollen concentration in pollen grains/m^3.

TABLE 4 Monitoring period for three relevant pollens in comparison with proportion of no/low pollen days[a] in six Southern European cities

Pollen		Monitoring period			Extra days with low pollen[a]					
		Start	End	Days	VAL	MAR	ROM	MES	IST	IZM
Cupressaceae	WPS	21 Jan	31 Dec	345	43	0	228	292	298	264
	WHS	31 Jan	31 Mar	60	39	0	-	-	-	15
Poaceae	WPS	25 Feb	16 Nov	265	195	150	162	146	237	0
	WHS	4 Apr	3 Jun	61	-	-	28	-	-	3
Urticaceae	WPS	1 Jan	31 Dec	365	66	199	127	2	341	148
	WHS	3 Jan	16 Jul	195	-	187	188	0	-	-

[a]Number of days with pollen concentration below given thresholds.

"-" season definition not applicable.

the calendar year 2018. This definition produced in some cases very long WPS (eg, 363 days for Urticaceae in Messina) and long WHS including intercurrent periods of more than 30 days of low pollen counts (eg, Cupressaceae in Marseille; Urticaceae in Messina).

- The second category, that is, "fragmented pollen season" (FPS) and "fragmented high season" (FHS) produced shorter, more precise, multiple segments, which were well separated by the excluded, low pollen count periods.

This *"fragmentation method"* may be more relevant and useful at least in three circumstances: (a) when pollens with a bimodal distribution are considered (eg, Urticaceae in Valencia); (b) when a shorter unimodal pollen season is artefactually made bimodal by our calendar definition of the year (January 1st-December 31st) (eg, Cupressaceae in Marseille and Valencia); and (c) when we need to integrate pollen seasons of multiple species of the same family, pollinating in the same territory but with intercurrent periods and sharing cross-reacting major allergenic molecules (such as PR-10 from Fagales in Rome, Ole e 1-like protein family from Oleaceae in Marseille).[36] As we have seen for Cupressaceae and Urticaceae in Valencia, the fragmentation method can solve these issues only partially or the calendar definition of the year would need, for an individual pollen, another start and end date (eg, from November/October until March/April for Cupressaceae pollen in Valencia).

4.3 | Relevance for AIT trials

On the basis of the analysis of pollen seasons in Southern Europe, the relevance for AIT trials with pollen aeroallergens should be further elaborated. A unified pollen season (based on 2018 data) for our six cities should be retrospectively designed starting with the earliest start-day and ending with the latest end-day of each geographic area where the patients are recruited. We found that a unified grass pollen season for a multicenter trial of AIT in our six cities would last for 9.5 months, starting at the end of February and finishing at mid-November (Izmir). By excluding Izmir from our

calculations, the grass pollen season would last four months, starting at the end of March (Messina) and finishing at the beginning of August (Marseille). However, even with this restriction, patients in Istanbul and in Valencia would be unnecessarily monitored for more than 50% of the study period. A logical consequence of our results is therefore that the monitoring periods of multicenter trials should be precisely differentiated to meet the local conditions and pollen seasons of each Southern European/Mediterranean area. This implies that in some cases a decision on which definition is used will strongly modify the outcome (diagnostic, therapeutic).[37] Concepts on the evaluation of personal pollen exposure, for example, via electronic devices could be possibly an additional tool in this given situation, as recently investigated.[19,29,38]

4.4 | Limitations

We have to consider a few limitations of our study. First, we had a percentage (although very limited) of missing pollen data in each of the centers. Second, our study is limited to six centers in four Mediterranean countries, and any conclusion we draw may not perfectly apply to all regions of such a broad and diverse geographic area. However, we believe that the inclusion of more centers, by increasing the heterogeneity of our results, would have reinforced our conclusions. Third, the study was performed on the basis of 2018 pollen data only, and the concept of fragmented season would need further confirmation with data from other years. Fourth, the height level of pollen traps is recommended on rooftops, which could lead to a possible underestimation of herbaceous pollen.[39] Last, periods of low pollen counts measured in the air can be also influenced by meteorological conditions; nonetheless, the impact on the clinical situation remains questionable since recent studies showed a nonlinear correlation between the amount of allergens and low pollen concentrations due to humidity.[40,41] However, we believe that the conclusions reached by our study are relatively independent from the year of dataset generation. Fifth, by summarizing the counts of multiple Fagales species, the local and individual impact per species cannot be distinguished and there are little data on the clinical

relevance of some plants like Castanea or Fagus. Nevertheless, there is a high degree of sequence homology and sub-sequential cross-reactivity within the group of birch-homologous species. Therefore, for example, the European medicine agency (EMA) groups the following plants into one "Fagales group" for regulatory purposes of allergen products: Betula verrucosa (Birch), Alnus glutinosa (Alder), Carpinus betulus Hornbeam, Corylus avellana (Hazel), Quercus alba (Oak), Castanea sativa (Chestnut), and Fagus sylvatica (Beech).[42,43] Due to a strong cross-reactivity caused by PR-10 molecules, the majority of Fagales species will induce symptoms in patients sensitized and allergic to a source belonging to the birch-homologous group.[44]

4.5 | Conclusions

Seasons of major allergenic pollen are highly heterogeneous in terms of pattern, length, and periodicity in Southern European countries. A unifying pollen calendar or season cannot be established in such a climatically and aerobiologically complex geographic area. When applying the EAACI season criteria, validated in Central and Northern European countries, to Southern European regions, this results in very long seasons, which also include many days/weeks with no/low pollen counts. By excluding these "intercurrent periods" with no/low pollen counts ("fragmented pollen season" method), our results are offering a more precise use of the same EAACI methodology. The approach we propose appears to generate shorter, more specific, and accurate although fragmented pollen seasons in Southern European countries. Whether this approach will have a similar useful impact also in Central and Northern Europe remains to be tested.

5 | GLOSSARY

Whole pollen season (WPS) and whole high season (WHS)

Pollen season definitions according to EAACI criteria.[24]

Fragmented pollen season (FPS) and fragmented high season (FHS)

Pollen seasons defined according to EAACI criteria, but resulting in multiple segments (fragments), after the application of start and stop signals also *within* the season and not only at its start and end.

Intercurrent period

A period of low pollen concentrations interrupting a WPS or a WHS. It is generated by a stop signal (eg, for Fagales the last of 5 days (out of seven consecutive days) with ≥10 pollen grains/m^3, when the sum of these 5 days is ≥100 pollen grains/m^3) followed by a start signal (eg, for Fagales the first of 5 days (out of seven consecutive days) with ≥10 pollen grains/m^3, when the sum of these 5 days is ≥100 pollen grains/m^3).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

PM Matricardi is a consultant for Hycor, Euroimmun, Novartis and has received research funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; Grant number MA-4740/1-1, Hycor biomedical, Euroimmun and reagents for research from Thermo Fisher; received speaker´s fees from Euroimmun, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Stallergenes Greer, HAL Allergy.). L Delgado reports personal fees from Laboratórios Vitória, SA, outside the submitted work. N. Papadopoulos reports personal fees from Novartis, Nutricia, HAL, Menarini/Faes Farma, Sanofi, MYLAN/MEDA, Biomay, Astra Zeneca, GSK, MSD, ASIT Biotech, Boehringer Ingelheim and grants from Gerolymatos International SA, Capricare, outside the submitted work. S Tripodi and S Pelosi are cofounders of TPS Production srl. O Pfaar reports grants and personal fees from ALK-Abelló, Allergopharma, Stallergenes Greer, HAL Allergy Holding BV/HAL Allergie GmbH, Bencard Allergie GmbH/Allergy Therapeutics, Lofarma, ASIT Biotech Tools SA Laboratorios LETI/LETI Pharma, Anergis SA and grants from Biomay, Nuvo, Circassia, and GlaxoSmithKline. Further, he receives personal fees from MEDA Pharma/MYLAN, Mobile Chamber Experts (a GA2LEN Partner), Indoor Biotechnologies, Astellas Pharma Global, outside the submitted work. M Makris reports personal fees from Novartis Greece, personal fees from Astra Zeneca, personal fees from Chiesi, personal fees from Mylan, outside the submitted work. X Aggelidis is sub-investigator in clinical trials conducted by Novartis, helds sponsored lectures for Novartis and Mylan. J A Fonseca reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Menarini, Novartis, Teva, grants from Mundipharma, and research contracts/licensing fees from ALK, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Mundipharma, outside the submitted work and is co-founder of a company developing mHealth technologies. J Suárez-Pérez reports personal fees from Laboratorios LETI, outside the submitted work. V Panetta reports financial activities outside the submitted work from Omron. The rest of the authors claim no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Hoffmann TM, ACAR Sahin A, Aggelidis X, Arasi S, Barbalace A, Bourgoin A, Bregu B, Caglayan Sosmen S, Caminiti L, Charpin D, Couto M, Delgado L, Di Rienzo Businco A, Dimier C, Dimou M, Fonseca JA, Goksel O, Hernandez D, Jang DT, Kalpakioglu F, Lame B, Llusar R, Makris MP, Mazon A, Mesonjesi E, Nieto A, Öztürk A, Pahus L, Pajno GP, Panasiti I, Papadopoulos NG, Pelosi S, Pereira

AM, Pereira M, Potapova E, Priftanji A, Psarros F, Sackensen C, Sfika I, Tripodi S, Verdier V, Villella V, Xepapadaki P, Yazici D, Matricardi PM, Dramburg S were involved in the coordination of the study as well as the patient recruitment and data collection.

Brighetti A, Caeiro E, Dimou M, Guvensen A, Lame B, Pellegrini E, Pinar M, Suarez J, Thibaudon M, Travaglini A contributed to the aerobiological data collection. Panetta V contributed to the data analysis. Pfaar O contributed to the writing of the manuscript and provided expert revisions. All authors contributed to the writing and revisions of the manuscript.

ORCID

Tara Maria Hoffmann https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1273-7539
Aydan Acar Şahin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5350-5534
Stefania Arasi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8135-0568
Maria Antonia Brighetti https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4556-6468
Elsa Caeiro https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8717-4596
Denis Charpin https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4493-4756
Mariana Couto https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4987-9346
Luís Delgado https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2375-9071
Maria V. Dimou https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1482-8290
João A. Fonseca https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0887-8796
Ozlem Goksel https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1121-9967
Aykut Guvensen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6384-3668
Dolores Hernandez https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8427-6845
Dah Tay Jang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3791-4389
Michael P. Makris https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7622-7939
Angel Mazon https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5639-1037
Antonio Nieto https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6302-6115
Ayse Öztürk https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3230-954X
Laurie Pahus https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5265-2874
Giovanni Battista Pajno https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6897-4587
Ilenia Panasiti https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3903-1544
Valentina Panetta https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6058-5045
Nikolaos G. Papadopoulos https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4448-3468
Oliver Pfaar https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4374-9639
Ekaterina Potapova https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3347-6350
Fotis Psarros https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2928-150X
Ifigenia Sfika https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2365-0498
Michel Thibaudon https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3549-5408
Alessandro Travaglini https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4373-0105
Salvatore Tripodi https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2517-3285
Valeria Villella https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3753-0489
Paraskevi Xepapadaki https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9204-1923
Paolo M. Matricardi https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5485-0324
Stephanie Dramburg https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9303-3260

REFERENCES

1. Pawankar R, Canonica GW, Holgate ST, Lockey RF, Blaiss M. *The WAO White Book on Allergy*. (Update. 2013). Milwaukee, WI: World Allergy Organization; 2013.
2. D'Amato G, Cecchi L, Bonini S, et al. Allergenic pollen and pollen allergy in Europe. *Allergy*. 2007;62:976-990.
3. Skoner DP. Allergic rhinitis: definition, epidemiology, pathophysiology, detection, and diagnosis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2001;108:S2-S8.
4. Camacho IC. Airborne pollen in Funchal city, (Madeira Island, Portugal) – first pollinic calendar and allergic risk assessment. *Ann Agric Environ Med*. 2015;22:608-613.
5. Katotomichelakis M, Nikolaidis C, Makris M, et al. The clinical significance of the pollen calendar of the Western Thrace/northeast Greece region in allergic rhinitis. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2015;5:1156-1163.
6. Yalcin AD, Basaran S, Bisgin A, Polat HH, Gorcyzynski M. Pollen aero allergens and the climate in Mediterranean region and allergen sensitivity in allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and allergic asthma patients. *MedSci Monit*. 2013;19:102-110.
7. Guvensen A, Ozturk M. Airborne pollen calendar of Izmir - Turkey. *Ann Agric Environ Med AAEM*. 2003;10:37-44.
8. Geller-Bernstein C, Portnoy JM. The clinical utility of pollen counts. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol*. 2019;57(3):340-349.
9. Barber D, de la Torre F, Lombardero M, et al. Component-resolved diagnosis of pollen allergy based on skin testing with profilin, polcalcin and lipid transfer protein pan-allergens. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2009;39:1764-1773.
10. Barnes CS. Impact of climate change on pollen and respiratory disease. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2018;18:59.
11. Ziello C, Sparks TH, Estrella N, et al. Changes to airborne pollen counts across Europe. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e34076.
12. Levetin E, Van de Water PK. Pollen count forecasting. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*. 2003;23:423-442.
13. Rodríguez-Rajo FJ, Valencia-Barrera RM, Vega-Maray AM, Suárez FJ, Fernández-González D, Jato V. Prediction of airborne Alnus pollen concentration by using ARIMA models. *Ann Agric Environ Med*. 2017;13:25-32.
14. Jato V, Rodríguez-Rajo FJ, Alcázar P, De Nuntiis P, Galán C, Mandrioli P. May the definition of pollen season influence aerobiological results? *Aerobiologia*. 2006;22:13-25.
15. Nilsson S, Persson S. Tree pollen spectra in the stockholm region (Sweden), 1973–1980. *Grana*. 1981;20:179-182.
16. Andersen TB. A model to predict the beginning of the pollen season. *Grana*. 1991;30:269-275.
17. Sánchez Mesa JA, Smith M, Emberlin J, Allitt U, Caulton E, Galan C. Characteristics of grass pollen seasons in areas of southern Spain and the United Kingdom. *Aerobiologia*. 2003;19:243-250.
18. Driessen MNBM, van Herpen RMA, Moelands RPM, Spieksma FTM. Prediction of the start of the grass pollen season for the western part of the Netherlands. *Grana*. 1989;28:37-44.
19. Bastl K, Kmenta M, Geller-Bernstein C, Berger U, Jäger S. Can we improve pollen season definitions by using the symptom load index in addition to pollen counts? *Environ Pollut*. 2015;204:109-116.
20. Galán C, Emberlin J, Domínguez E, Bryant RH, Villamandos F. A comparative analysis of daily variations in the gramineae pollen counts at Córdoba, Spain and London, UK. *Grana*. 1995;34:189-198.
21. Creticos PS, Maloney J, Bernstein DI, et al. Randomized controlled trial of a ragweed allergy immunotherapy tablet in North American and European adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;131:1342-1349.
22. Canonica GW, Baena-Cagnani CE, Bousquet J, et al. Recommendations for standardization of clinical trials with allergen specific immunotherapy for respiratory allergy. A statement of a world allergy organization (WAO) taskforce. *Allergy*. 2007;62:317-324.
23. Durham SR, Nelson HS, Nolte H, et al. Magnitude of efficacy measurements in grass allergy immunotherapy trials is highly dependent on pollen exposure. *Allergy*. 2014;69:617-623.

24. Pfaar O, Bastl K, Berger U, et al. Defining pollen exposure times for clinical trials of allergen immunotherapy for pollen-induced rhinoconjunctivitis – an EAACI position paper. *Allergy.* 2017;72:713-722.

25. Cecchi L. Introduction. In: Sofiev M, Bergmann K-C, eds. *Allergenic pollen. A review of the production, release, distribution and health impacts.* Dordrecht: Springer; 2013: 1-7.

26. Nikolaidis C, Katotomichelakis M, Nena E, et al. Seasonal variations of allergenic pollen in a Mediterranean region – Alexandroupolis, north-east Greece. *Ann Agric Environ Med.* 2015;22(4):685-689.

27. Ballero M, Maxia A. Pollen spectrum variations in the atmosphere of Cagliari, Italy. *Aerobiologia.* 2003;19:251-259.

28. Karatzas K, Riga M, Berger U, Werchan M, Pfaar O, Bergmann KC. Computational validation of the recently proposed pollen season definition criteria. *Allergy.* 2018;73:5-7.

29. Bastl K, Kmenta M, Berger UE. Defining pollen seasons: background and recommendations. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep.* 2018;18:73.

30. DIN EN 16868 Ambient air - Sampling and analysis of airborne pollen grains and fungal spores for networks related to allergy - Volumetric Hirst method; German and English version prEN 16868:2017 [Internet]. https://www.din.de/en/getting-involved/standards-committees/krdl/drafts/wdc-beuth:din21:277928625. Accessed June 24, 2019.

31. Galán C, Ariatti A, Bonini M, et al. Recommended terminology for aerobiological studies. *Aerobiologia.* 2017;33:293-295.

32. Mandrioli P. Basic aerobiology. *Aerobiologia.* 1998;14:89.

33. EAS QC Working Group, Galán C, Smith M, et al. Pollen monitoring: minimum requirements and reproducibility of analysis. *Aerobiologia.* 2014;30(4):385-395.

34. Rojo J, Picornell A, Oteros J. AeRobiology: the computational tool for biological data in the air. *Methods Ecol Evol.* 2019;10:1371-1376.

35. Sikoparija B, Skjøth CA, Celenk S, et al. Spatial and temporal variations in airborne *Ambrosia* pollen in Europe. *Aerobiologia.* 2017;33:181-189.

36. Matricardi PM, Kleine-Tebbe J, Hoffmann HJ, et al. EAACI molecular allergology user's guide. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2016;27:1-250.

37. Pfaar O, Alvaro M, Cardona V, Hamelmann E, Mösges R, Kleine-Tebbe J. Clinical trials in allergen immunotherapy: current concepts and future needs. *Allergy.* 2018;73:1775-1783.

38. Karatzas K, Katsifarakis N, Riga M, et al. New European academy of allergy and clinical immunology definition on pollen season mirrors symptom load for grass and birch pollen-induced allergic rhinitis. *Allergy.* 2018;73:1851-1859.

39. Rojo J, Oteros J, Pérez-Badia R, et al. Near-ground effect of height on pollen exposure. *Environ Res.* 2019;174:160-169.

40. Smart IJ, Tuddenham WG, Knox RB. Aerobiology of grass pollen in the city atmosphere of melbourne: effects of weather parameters and pollen sources. *Aust J Bot.* 1979;27:333-342.

41. Buters J, Prank M, Sofiev M, et al. Variation of the group 5 grass pollen allergen content of airborne pollen in relation to geographic location and time in season. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2015;136:87-95.

42. Guideline on Allergen Products: Production and Quality Issues. EMEA/CHMP/BWP/304831/2007. European Medicines Agency. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-allergen-products-production-quality-issues_en.pdf. Accessed September 25, 2019.

43. Lorenz AR, Lüttkopf D, May S, Scheurer S, Vieths S. The principle of homologous groups in regulatory affairs of allergen products–a proposal. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* 2009;148:1-17.

44. Hauser M, Asam C, Himly M, et al. Bet v 1-like pollen allergens of multiple Fagales species can sensitize atopic individuals. *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2011;41:1804-1814.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.